

# Audit Strategy Memorandum

Peak District National Park Authority

Year ending 31 March 2023



# Contents

- 01** Engagement and responsibilities summary
- 02** Your audit engagement team
- 03** Audit scope, approach and timeline
- 04** Significant risks and other key judgement areas
- 05** Value for money
- 06** Fees for audit and other services
- 07** Our commitment to independence
- 08** Materiality and misstatements
  
- A** Appendix A – Key communication points
- Appendix B – Revised auditing standard on Identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement: ISA (UK) 315 (Revised 2019)

This document is to be regarded as confidential to Peak District National Park Authority. It has been prepared for the sole use of Those Charged With Governance. No responsibility is accepted to any other person in respect of the whole or part of its contents. Our written consent must first be obtained before this document, or any part of it, is disclosed to a third party.



Peak District National Park Authority  
Aldern House  
Baslow Road  
Bakewell  
Derbyshire  
DE45 1AE

19 May 2023

Mazars LLP Park View House  
58 The Ropewalk  
Nottingham  
NG1 5DW

Dear Sirs/Madams

## Audit Strategy Memorandum – Year ending 31 March 2023

We are pleased to present our Audit Strategy Memorandum for Peak District National Park Authority for the year ending 31 March 2023. The purpose of this document is to summarise our audit approach, highlight significant audit risks and areas of key judgements and provide you with the details of our audit team. As it is a fundamental requirement that an auditor is, and is seen to be, independent of its clients, section 7 of this document also summarises our considerations and conclusions on our independence as auditors. We consider two-way communication with you to be key to a successful audit and important in:

- reaching a mutual understanding of the scope of the audit and the responsibilities of each of us;
- sharing information to assist each of us to fulfil our respective responsibilities;
- providing you with constructive observations arising from the audit process; and
- ensuring that we, as external auditors, gain an understanding of your attitude and views in respect of the internal and external operational, financial, compliance and other risks facing Peak District National Park Authority which may affect the audit, including the likelihood of those risks materialising and how they are monitored and managed.

With that in mind, we see this document, which has been prepared following our initial planning discussions with management, as being the basis for a discussion around our audit approach, any questions, concerns or input you may have on our approach or role as auditor. This document also contains an appendix that outlines our key communications with you during the course of the audit, and explains the implications of the introduction of the new auditing standard for Identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement: ISA (UK) 315 (Revised 2019).

Client service is extremely important to us and we strive to provide technical excellence with the highest level of service quality, together with continuous improvement to exceed your expectations so, if you have any concerns or comments about this document or audit approach, please contact me on [mark.surridge@mazars.co.uk](mailto:mark.surridge@mazars.co.uk).

Yours faithfully

Mark Surridge

Mazars LLP

Mazars LLP – Park View House, 58 The Ropewalk, Nottingham NG1 5DW

Tel: 0121 2329500 – [www.mazars.co.uk](http://www.mazars.co.uk)

Mazars LLP is the UK firm of Mazars, an integrated international advisory and accountancy organisation. Mazars LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC308299 and with its registered office at Tower Bridge House, St Katharine's Way, London E1W 1DD.

We are registered to carry on audit work in the UK by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales. Details about our audit registration can be viewed at [www.auditregister.org.uk](http://www.auditregister.org.uk) under reference number C001139861. VAT number: 839 8356 73

# 01

Section 01:

**Engagement and  
responsibilities summary**

# 1. Engagement and responsibilities summary

## Overview of engagement

We are appointed to perform the external audit of Peak District National Park Authority (the Authority) for the year to 31 March 2023. The scope of our engagement is set out in the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies, issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) available from the PSAA website: <https://www.psa.co.uk/managing-audit-quality/statement-of-responsibilities-of-auditors-and-audited-bodies/>. Our responsibilities are principally derived from the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 (the 2014 Act) and the Code of Audit Practice issued by the National Audit Office (NAO), as outlined below.


### Audit opinion

We are responsible for forming and expressing an opinion on whether the financial statements are prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting. Our audit does not relieve management or Those Charged With Governance, as those charged with governance, of their responsibilities.

The Chief Finance Officer is responsible for the assessment of whether it is appropriate for the Authority to prepare its accounts on a going concern basis. As auditors, we are required to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding, and conclude on: a) whether a material uncertainty related to going concern exists; and b) consider the appropriateness of the Chief Finance Officer's use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements.

### Value for money

We are also responsible for forming a commentary on the arrangements that the Authority has in place to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. We discuss our approach to Value for Money work further in section 5 of this report.



### Fraud


The responsibility for safeguarding assets and for the prevention and detection of fraud, error and non-compliance with law or regulations rests with both those charged with governance and management. This includes establishing and maintaining internal controls over reliability of financial reporting.

As part of our audit procedures in relation to fraud we are required to enquire of those charged with governance, including key management and Internal audit as to their knowledge of instances of fraud, the risk of fraud and their views on internal controls that mitigate the fraud risks. In accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK), we plan and perform our audit so as to obtain reasonable assurance that the financial statements taken as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error. However our audit should not be relied upon to identify all such misstatements.

### Wider reporting and electors' rights

We report to the NAO on the consistency of the Authority's financial statements with its Whole of Government Accounts (WGA) submission.

The 2014 Act requires us to give an elector, or any representative of the elector, the opportunity to question us about the accounting records of the Authority and consider any objection made to the accounts. We also have a broad range of reporting responsibilities and powers that are unique to the audit of local authorities in the United Kingdom



# 02

Section 02:

**Your audit engagement team**


# 2. Your audit engagement team

Below is your audit engagement team and their contact details.

**Mark Surridge**  
**Engagement Partner**  
Email: [mark.surridge@mazars.co.uk](mailto:mark.surridge@mazars.co.uk)

**Tom Greensill**  
**Engagement Manager**  
Email: [tom.greensill@mazars.co.uk](mailto:tom.greensill@mazars.co.uk)

**Emily Reynolds**  
**In-charge**  
Email: [emily.reynolds@mazars.co.uk](mailto:emily.reynolds@mazars.co.uk)



# 03

Section 03:

**Audit scope, approach and timeline**



# 3. Audit scope, approach and timeline

## Audit scope

Our audit approach is designed to provide an audit that complies with all professional requirements.

Our audit of the financial statements will be conducted in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK), relevant ethical and professional standards, our own audit approach and in accordance with the terms of our engagement. Our work is focused on those aspects of your activities which we consider to have a higher risk of material misstatement, such as those impacted by management judgement and estimation, application of new accounting standards, changes of accounting policy, changes to operations or areas which have been found to contain material errors in the past.


## Audit approach

Our audit approach is risk-based and primarily driven by the issues that we consider lead to a higher risk of material misstatement of the accounts. Once we have completed our risk assessment, we develop our audit strategy and design audit procedures in response to the risks identified.

If we conclude that appropriately-designed controls are in place, then we may plan to test and rely upon these controls. If we decide controls are not appropriately designed, or we decide it would be more efficient to do so, we may take a wholly substantive approach to our audit testing. Substantive procedures are audit procedures designed to detect material misstatements at the assertion level and comprise: tests of details (of classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures); and substantive analytical procedures. Irrespective of the assessed risks of material misstatement, which take into account our evaluation of the operating effectiveness of controls, we are required to design and perform substantive procedures for each material class of transactions, account balance, and disclosure.

Our audit will be planned and performed so as to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement and give a true and fair view. The concept of materiality and how we define a misstatement is explained in more detail in section 8.

The diagram on the next page outlines the procedures we perform at the different stages of the audit.



# 3. Audit scope, approach and timeline


## Planning and Risk Assessment February 2023

Planning visit and developing our understanding of the Authority

- Initial opinion and value for money risk assessments
- Considering proposed accounting treatments and accounting policies
- Developing the audit strategy and planning the audit work to be performed
- Agreeing timetable and deadlines
- Risk assessment analytical procedures
- Determination of materiality

## Completion October

- Final review and disclosure checklist of financial statements
- Final partner review
- Agreeing content of letter of representation
- Reporting to the Those Charged With Governance
- Reviewing subsequent events
- Signing the independent auditor's report




## Interim July

- Documenting systems and controls
- Performing walkthroughs
- Interim controls testing including tests of IT general controls
- Early substantive testing of transactions
- Reassessment of audit plan and revision if necessary

## Fieldwork August - October

- Receiving and reviewing draft financial statements
- Delivering our audit strategy starting with significant risks and high risk areas including detailed testing of transactions, account balances and disclosures
- Communicating progress and issues
- Clearance meeting



# 3. Audit scope, approach and timeline


## Reliance on internal audit

We will liaise with internal audit to discuss the progress and findings of their work prior to the commencement of our controls evaluation procedures.

## Management’s and our experts

Management makes use of experts in specific areas when preparing the Authority’s financial statements. We also use experts to assist us to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence on specific items of account.

Item of account	Management’s expert	Our expert
Property, Plant and Equipment	District Valuer Services	Third party evidence provided via the NAO to support out challenge of valuation assumptions.
Pensions	Hymans Robertson	PwC (Consulting actuary appointed by the NAO)



# 04

Section 04:

**Significant risks and other key  
judgement areas**

# 4. Significant risks and other key judgement areas

Following the risk assessment approach discussed in section 3 of this document, we have identified risks relevant to the audit of financial statements. The risks that we identify are categorised as significant, enhanced or standard. The definitions of the level of risk rating are given below:

### Significant risk

Significant risks are those risks assessed as being close to the upper end of the spectrum of inherent risk, based on the combination of the likelihood of a misstatement occurring and the magnitude of any potential misstatement. Fraud risks are always assessed as significant risks as required by auditing standards, including management override of controls and revenue recognition.


### Enhanced risk

An enhanced risk is an area of higher assessed risk of material misstatement at audit assertion level other than a significant risk. Enhanced risks require additional consideration but does not rise to the level of a significant risk, these include but may not be limited to:

- key areas of management judgement, including accounting estimates which are material but are not considered to give rise to a significant risk of material misstatement; and
- other audit assertion risks arising from significant events or transactions that occurred during the period.

### Standard risk


This is related to relatively routine, non-complex transactions that tend to be subject to systematic processing and require little management judgement. Although it is considered that there is a risk of material misstatement (RMM), there are no elevated or special factors related to the nature, the likely magnitude of the potential misstatements or the likelihood of the risk occurring.



# 4. Significant risks and other key judgement areas


## Summary risk assessment

The summary risk assessment, illustrated in the table below, highlights those risks which we deem to be significant and other enhanced risks in respect of the Authority. We have summarised our audit response to these risks on the next page.



- 1. Management override of controls
- 2. Valuation of the net defined benefit liability
- 3. Valuation of property, plant and equipment

Key: ● Significant risk    ● Enhanced risk / significant management judgement




# 4. Significant risks and other key judgement areas

## Specific identified audit risks and planned testing strategy

We have presented below in more detail the reasons for the risk assessment highlighted above, and also our testing approach with respect to significant risks. An audit is a dynamic process, should we change our view of risk or approach to address the identified risks during the course of our audit, we will report this to Those Charged With Governance.

## Significant risks


	Description	Fraud	Error	Judgement	Planned response
1	<p><b>Management override of controls</b> This is a mandatory significant risk on all audits due to the unpredictable way in which such override could occur.</p> <p>Management at various levels within an organisation are in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of their ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. Due to the unpredictable way in which such override could occur there is a risk of material misstatement due to fraud on all audits.</p>	●	○	○	We plan to address the management override of controls risk through performing audit work over accounting estimates, journal entries and significant transactions outside the normal course of business or otherwise unusual.



# 4. Significant risks and other key judgement areas

## Significant risks

	Description	Fraud	Error	Judgement	Planned response
2	<p><b>Net defined benefit liability valuation</b></p> <p>The defined benefit liability relating to the Local Government pension scheme represents a significant balance on the Authority's balance sheet.</p> <p>The Authority uses an actuary to provide an annual valuation of these liabilities in line with the requirements of IAS 19 Employee Benefits.</p> <p>Due to the high degree of estimation uncertainty associated with this valuation, we have determined there is a significant risk in this area.</p>	○	●	●	<p>We plan to address the risk by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>critically assessing the competency, objectivity and independence of the Derbyshire Pension Fund's Actuary;</li> <li>liaising with the auditors of the Derbyshire Pension Fund to gain assurance that the controls in place at the Pension Fund are operating effectively. This will included the processes and controls in place to ensure data provided to the Actuary by the Pension Fund for the purposes of the IAS 19 valuation is complete and accurate;</li> <li>reviewing the appropriateness of the Pension Asset and Liability valuation methodologies applied by the Pension Fund Actuary, and the key assumptions included within the valuation. This will include comparing them to expected ranges, utilising information by the consulting actuary engaged by the National Audit Office; and</li> <li>agreeing the data in the IAS 19 valuation report provided by the Fund Actuary for accounting purposes to the pension accounting entries and disclosures in the Authority's financial statements</li> </ul>






# 4. Significant risks and other key judgement areas

## Significant risks

	Description	Fraud	Error	Judgement	Planned response
3	<p><b>Valuation of property, plant and equipment</b> Land and buildings are a significant balance on the Authority's balance sheet.</p> <p>The valuation of land and buildings is complex and is subject to a number of management assumptions and judgements.</p> <p>Due to the high degree of estimation uncertainty associated, we have determined there is a significant risk in this area This risk covers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Investment properties</li> <li>• Other PPE related assets.</li> </ul>	○	●	●	<p>We plan to address this risk by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• critically assessing the Authority's valuer's scope of work, qualifications, objectivity and independence to carry out the required programme of revaluations;</li> <li>• Considering whether the overall revaluation methodologies used by the Authority's valuer are in line with industry practice, the CIPFA code of practice and the Authority's accounting policies;</li> <li>• assessing whether valuation movements are in line with market expectations by considering valuation trends;</li> <li>• critically assessing the treatment of the upward and downward revaluation movements in the Authority's financial statements with regards to the requirements of the CIPFA code of practice.</li> <li>• Critically assessing the approach that the Authority adopts to ensure that assets that are not subject to revaluation in 2020/21 are materially correct, including considering the robustness of that approach in light of the valuation information reported by the Authority's valuers.</li> </ul>



# 05

Section 05:

**Value for money**

# 5. Value for money

## The framework for Value for Money work

We are required to form a view as to whether the Authority has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. The NAO issues guidance to auditors that underpins the work we are required to carry out in order to form our view, and sets out the overall criterion and sub-criteria that we are required to consider.

2022/23 will be the third audit year where we are undertaking our value for money (VFM) work under the 2020 Code of Audit Practice (the Code). Our responsibility remains to be satisfied that the Authority has proper arrangements in place and to report in the audit report and/or the audit completion certificate where we identify significant weaknesses in arrangements. Separately we provide a commentary on the Authority's arrangements in the Auditor's Annual Report.

## Specified reporting criteria



The Code requires us to structure our commentary to report under three specified criteria:

1. **Financial sustainability** – how the Authority plans and manages its resources to ensure it can continue to deliver its services
2. **Governance** – how the Authority ensures that it makes informed decisions and properly manages its risks
3. **Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness** – how the Authority uses information about its costs and performance to improve the way it manages and delivers its services

## Our approach

Our work falls into three primary phases as outlined opposite. We need to gather sufficient evidence to support our commentary on the Authority's arrangements and to identify and report on any significant weaknesses in arrangements. Where significant weaknesses are identified we are required to report these to the Authority and make recommendations for improvement. Such recommendations can be made at any point during the audit cycle and we are not expected to wait until issuing our overall commentary to do so.

**Our VFM planning and risk assessment work is an ongoing process and to date, no risks of significant weaknesses in arrangements have been identified. We will report any identified risks to the Authority on completion of our planning and risk identification work.**



# 06

Section 06:

**Fees for audit and other services**

## 6. Fees for audit and other services


### Fees for work as the Authority's appointed auditor

At this stage of the audit, we expect some divergence from the scale fees set by PSAA to take account of the additional work required for our VFM responsibilities and for work to comply with auditing standard ISA540 (estimates) and ISA 315 (Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement).

Area of work	2022/23 Scale Fee	2021/22 Actual Fee
Code Audit Work	£13,727	£10,209
Additional costs associated with the audit not included in the scale fee (eg additional testing requirements driven by regulatory requirements, additional testing from new auditing standards, additional work to deliver the VFM responsibilities)	TBC	£10,100
<b>Total</b>	<b>TBC</b>	<b>£20,309</b>

### Fees for non-PSAA work

We have not been engaged by the Authority to carry out any other work. Further information about our responsibilities in relation to independence is provided in section 7.



# 07

Section 07:

**Our commitment to independence**

# 7. Our commitment to independence

We are committed to independence and are required by the Financial Reporting Authority to confirm to you at least annually in writing that we comply with the FRC’s Ethical Standard. In addition, we communicate any matters or relationship which we believe may have a bearing on our independence or the objectivity of the audit team.

Based on the information provided by you and our own internal procedures to safeguard our independence as auditors, we confirm that in our professional judgement there are no relationships between us and any of our related or subsidiary entities, and you and your related entities creating any unacceptable threats to our independence within the regulatory or professional requirements governing us as your auditors.


We have policies and procedures in place which are designed to ensure that we carry out our work with integrity, objectivity and independence. These policies include:

- all partners and staff are required to complete an annual independence declaration;
- all new partners and staff are required to complete an independence confirmation and also complete computer based ethical training;
- rotation policies covering audit engagement partners and other key members of the audit team; and
- use by managers and partners of our client and engagement acceptance system which requires all non-audit services to be approved in advance by the audit engagement partner.

We confirm, as at the date of this document, that the engagement team and others in the firm as appropriate, Mazars LLP are independent and comply with relevant ethical requirements. However, if at any time you have concerns or questions about our integrity, objectivity or independence please discuss these with Mark Surridge in the first instance.

Prior to the provision of any non-audit services Mark Surridge will undertake appropriate procedures to consider and fully assess the impact that providing the service may have on our auditor independence.

Any emerging independence threats and associated identified safeguards will be communicated in our Audit Completion Report.



# 08

Section 08:

**Materiality and misstatements**



# 8. Materiality and misstatements

## Summary of initial materiality thresholds

Threshold	Initial threshold £'000s
Overall materiality	319
Performance materiality	255
Specific materiality – Officers' remuneration and Members' allowances and expenses	5
Trivial threshold for errors to be reported to Those Charged With Governance:	10

## Materiality

Materiality is an expression of the relative significance or importance of a particular matter in the context of financial statements as a whole.

Information is considered to be material if omitting, misstating or obscuring it could reasonably be expected to influence the decisions that the primary users of general purpose financial statements make on the basis of those financial statements, which provide financial information about a specific reporting entity.

Judgements on materiality are made in light of surrounding circumstances and are affected by the size and nature of a misstatement, or a combination of both. Judgements about materiality are based on consideration of the common financial information needs of users as a group and not on specific individual users.

The assessment of what is material is a matter of professional judgement and is affected by our perception of the financial information needs of the users of the financial statements. In making our assessment we assume that users:

- have a reasonable knowledge of business, economic activities and accounts;
- have a willingness to study the information in the financial statements with reasonable diligence;
- understand that financial statements are prepared, presented and audited to levels of materiality;
- recognise the uncertainties inherent in the measurement of amounts based on the use of estimates, judgement and the consideration of future events; and
- will make reasonable economic decisions on the basis of the information in the financial statements.

We consider materiality whilst planning and performing our audit based on quantitative and qualitative factors.


Whilst planning, we make judgements about the size of misstatements which we consider to be material and which provides a basis for determining the nature, timing and extent of risk assessment procedures, identifying and assessing the risk of material misstatement and determining the nature, timing and extent of further audit procedures.

The materiality determined at the planning stage does not necessarily establish an amount below which uncorrected misstatements, either individually or in aggregate, will be considered as immaterial.

We revise materiality for the financial statements as our audit progresses should we become aware of information that would have caused us to determine a different amount had we been aware of that information at the planning stage.

Our provisional materiality is set based on a benchmark of gross revenue expenditure. We will identify a figure for materiality but identify separate levels for procedures designed to detect individual errors, and also a level above which all identified errors will be reported to Those Charged With Governance.

We consider that the gross revenue expenditure remains the key focus of users of the financial statements and, as such, we base our materiality levels around this benchmark.



# 8. Materiality and misstatements

## Materiality (continued)

We expect to set a materiality threshold at 2% of gross revenue expenditure. Based on prior year financial statements we anticipate the overall materiality for the year ending 31 March 2022 to be in the region of £319k (£350k in the prior year).

After setting initial materiality, we continue to monitor materiality throughout the audit to ensure that it is set at an appropriate level.

## Performance Materiality

Performance materiality is the amount or amounts set by the auditor at less than materiality for the financial statements as a whole to reduce, to an appropriately low level, the probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements exceeds materiality for the financial statements as a whole. Our initial assessment of performance materiality is based on low inherent risk, meaning that we have applied 80% of overall materiality as performance materiality.


## Misstatements

We accumulate misstatements identified during the audit that are other than clearly trivial. We set a level of triviality for individual errors identified (a reporting threshold) for reporting to Those Charged With Governance that is consistent with the level of triviality that we consider would not need to be accumulated because we expect that the accumulation of such amounts would not have a material effect on the financial statements. Based on our preliminary assessment of overall materiality, our proposed triviality threshold is £10k based on 3% of overall materiality. If you have any queries about this please do not hesitate to raise these with Mark Surridge.

## Reporting to Those Charged With Governance

The following three types of audit differences above the trivial threshold will be presented to Those Charged With Governance:

- summary of adjusted audit differences;
- summary of unadjusted audit differences; and
- summary of disclosure differences (adjusted and unadjusted).





# Appendices

A: Key communication points

B: Revised auditing standard on Identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement: ISA (UK) 315 (Revised 2019)

# Appendix A: Key communication points

We value communication with Those Charged With Governance as a two way feedback process at the heart of our client service commitment. ISA 260 (UK) 'Communication with Those Charged with Governance' and ISA 265 (UK) 'Communicating Deficiencies In Internal Control To Those Charged With Governance And Management' specifically require us to communicate a number of points with you.

Relevant points that need to be communicated with you at each stage of the audit are outlined below.

## Form, timing and content of our communications

We will present the following reports:

- Audit Strategy Memorandum;
- Audit Completion Report; and
- Auditor's Annual Report

These documents will be discussed with management prior to being presented to yourselves and their comments will be incorporated as appropriate.


## Key communication points at the planning stage as included in this Audit Strategy Memorandum

- Our responsibilities in relation to the audit of the financial statements;
- The planned scope and timing of the audit;
- Significant audit risks and areas of management judgement;
- Our commitment to independence;

- Responsibilities for preventing and detecting errors;
- Materiality and misstatements; and
- Fees for audit and other services.

## Key communication points at the completion stage to be included in our Audit Completion Report


- Significant deficiencies in internal control;
- Significant findings from the audit;
- Significant matters discussed with management;
- Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit;
- Qualitative aspects of the entity's accounting practices, including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures;
- Our conclusions on the significant audit risks and areas of management judgement;
- Summary of misstatements;
- Management representation letter;
- Our proposed draft audit report; and
- Independence.



# Appendix A: Key communication points


ISA (UK) 260 'Communication with Those Charged with Governance', ISA (UK) 265 'Communicating Deficiencies In Internal Control To Those Charged With Governance And Management' and other ISAs (UK) specifically require us to communicate the following:

Required communication	Where addressed
Our responsibilities in relation to the financial statement audit and those of management and those charged with governance.	Audit Strategy Memorandum
The planned scope and timing of the audit including any limitations, specifically including with respect to significant risks.	Audit Strategy Memorandum
With respect to misstatements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• uncorrected misstatements and their effect on our audit opinion;</li> <li>• the effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods;</li> <li>• a request that any uncorrected misstatement is corrected; and</li> <li>• in writing, corrected misstatements that are significant.</li> </ul>	Audit Completion Report
With respect to fraud communications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• enquiries of Those Charged With Governance to determine whether they have a knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting the entity;</li> <li>• any fraud that we have identified or information we have obtained that indicates that fraud may exist; and</li> <li>• a discussion of any other matters related to fraud.</li> </ul>	Audit Completion Report and discussion at Authority Committee, Audit planning and clearance meetings




# Appendix A: Key communication points

Required communication	Where addressed
<p>Significant matters arising during the audit in connection with the entity’s related parties including, when applicable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• non-disclosure by management;</li> <li>• inappropriate authorisation and approval of transactions;</li> <li>• disagreement over disclosures;</li> <li>• non-compliance with laws and regulations; and</li> <li>• difficulty in identifying the party that ultimately controls the entity.</li> </ul>	<p>Audit Completion Report</p>
<p>Significant findings from the audit including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• our view about the significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures;</li> <li>• significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit;</li> <li>• significant matters, if any, arising from the audit that were discussed with management or were the subject of correspondence with management;</li> <li>• written representations that we are seeking;</li> <li>• expected modifications to the audit report; and</li> <li>• other matters, if any, significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process or otherwise identified in the course of the audit that we believe will be relevant to Those Charged With Governance in the context of fulfilling their responsibilities.</li> </ul>	<p>Audit Completion Report</p>
<p>Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit.</p>	<p>Audit Completion Report</p>
<p>Where relevant, any issues identified with respect to authority to obtain external confirmations or inability to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from other procedures.</p>	<p>Audit Completion Report</p>



# Appendix A: Key communication points

Required communication	Where addressed
<p>Audit findings regarding non-compliance with laws and regulations where the non-compliance is material and believed to be intentional (subject to compliance with legislation on tipping off) and enquiry of Those Charged With Governance into possible instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements and that Those Charged With Governance may be aware of.</p>	<p>Audit Completion Report and Those Charged With Governance meetings</p>
<p>With respect to going concern, events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty;</li> <li>• whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements; and</li> <li>• the adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements.</li> </ul>	<p>Audit Completion Report</p>
<p>Reporting on the valuation methods applied to the various items in the annual financial statements including any impact of changes of such methods</p>	<p>Audit Completion Report</p>
<p>Indication of whether all requested explanations and documents were provided by the entity</p>	<p>Audit Completion Report</p>



# Appendix B: Revised auditing standard on Identifying and assessing the risks of material misstatement: ISA (UK) 315 (Revised 2019)

## Background

ISA (UK) 315 (Revised 2019) introduces major changes to the auditor's risk identification and assessment approach, which are intended to drive a more focused response from auditors undertaking work to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence to address the risks of material misstatement. The new standard is effective for periods commencing on or after 15 December 2021 and therefore applies in full for the Authority's 2022/23 audit.

The most significant changes relevant to the Authority's audit are outlined below.

### Enhanced risk identification and assessment

The standard has enhanced the requirements for the auditor to understand the audited entity, its environment and the applicable financial reporting framework in order to identify and assess risk based on new inherent risk factors which include:

- Subjectivity
- Complexity
- Uncertainty and change
- Susceptibility to misstatement due to management bias or fraud.

Using these inherent risk factors, we assess inherent risk on a spectrum, at which the higher end of which lies significant risks, to drive an audit that is more focused on identified risks. Auditors are now also required to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence from these risk identification and assessment procedures which means documentation and evidence requirements are also enhanced.


### Greater emphasis on understanding IT

In response to constantly evolving business environments, the standard places an increased emphasis on the requirements for the auditor to gain an understanding of the entity's IT environment to better understand the possible

risks within an entity's information systems. As a result, we are required to gain a greater understanding of the IT environment, including IT general controls (ITGCs).

### Increased focus on controls

Building on the need for auditors to gain a greater understanding of the IT environment, the standard also widens the scope of controls that are deemed relevant to the audit. We are now required to broaden our understanding of controls implemented by management, including ITGCs, as well as assess the design and implementation of those controls.





# Mark Surridge

Mark.Surridge@mazars.co.uk

## Mazars

Park View House  
58 The Ropewalk,  
Nottingham  
NG1 5DW

Mazars is an internationally integrated partnership, specialising in audit, accountancy, advisory, tax and legal services\*. Operating in over 90 countries and territories around the world, we draw on the expertise of 40,400 professionals – 24,400 in Mazars' integrated partnership and 16,000 via the Mazars North America Alliance – to assist clients of all sizes at every stage in their development.

\*where permitted under applicable country laws.

## Follow us:

### LinkedIn:

[www.linkedin.com/company/Mazars](http://www.linkedin.com/company/Mazars)

### Twitter:

[www.twitter.com/MazarsGroup](http://www.twitter.com/MazarsGroup)

### Facebook:

[www.facebook.com/MazarsGroup](http://www.facebook.com/MazarsGroup)

### Instagram:

[www.instagram.com/MazarsGroup](http://www.instagram.com/MazarsGroup)

### WeChat:

ID: Mazars